Int. J. Sci. R. Tech., 2024 1(11)
A Multidisciplinary peer-reviewed Journal
www.ijsrtjournal.com [ISSN: 2394-7063]

Original Article

Multiple Personality Disorder (Dissociative Identity Disorder)

Sudarshan Kalagate, Madhura Jadhav, Jitesh Batra

Arihant college of pharmacy, kedgaon, Ahilyanagar.

ABSTRACT

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID), previously known as Multiple Personality Disorder, is a complex and often misunderstood psychological condition characterized by the presence of two or more distinct identity states within an individual. These identities, or "alters," may differ in their behaviors, memories, and perceptions, often functioning autonomously from one another. DID is strongly associated with severe and prolonged trauma, typically occurring during early childhood, leading to disruptions in the development of a cohesive sense of self. Despite its inclusion in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5), DID remains a subject of considerable debate due to its controversial etiology, diagnostic complexity, and the influence of sociocultural factors. Recent advancements in neuroimaging and psychometric tools have provided insights into the biological and psychological underpinnings of the disorder, demonstrating alterations in brain regions linked to memory, emotion regulation, and identity processing. Treatment approaches primarily involve psychotherapy aimed at trauma integration and identity unification, often supplemented by pharmacotherapy to manage comorbid conditions such as depression and anxiety. However, challenges persist, including misdiagnosis, stigmatization, and public misconceptions fueled by media portrayals. This review explores the historical evolution, clinical features, neurobiological findings, therapeutic strategies, and controversies surrounding DID. It highlights the need for further interdisciplinary research to refine diagnostic methods, improve treatment outcomes, and foster a more accurate understanding of this enigmatic disorder.

Keywords: Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID), Multiple Personality Disorder (MPD), Trauma, Childhood abuse, Identity states, Alter personalities, Dissociation. Diagnosis, Neurobiology, Psychotherapy Pharmacotherapy, Stigmatization, Media influence, DSM-5, Mental health disorders, Comorbidity, Trauma integration, Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), Neuroimaging Sociocultural factors.

INTRODUCTION

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID), formerly referred to as Multiple Personality Disorder (MPD), is a chronic and complex psychological condition characterized by the presence of two or more distinct identity states, often referred to as “alters.” These identity states can differ significantly in terms of their behaviors, memories, preferences, and even physiological characteristics, such as handwriting styles or voice patterns. DID is considered the most severe form of dissociative disorders, a group of conditions that involve disruptions in memory, consciousness, identity, and perception. This disruption results in a fractured sense of self, making it difficult for individuals with DID to maintain a cohesive personal identity.

The origins of DID are strongly linked to trauma, particularly severe and repetitive abuse or neglect during early childhood. According to the trauma model, dissociation acts as a defense mechanism, allowing the child to psychologically escape overwhelming pain by compartmentalizing traumatic memories and emotions into separate identities. Over time, these compartmentalized states develop into distinct personalities, each serving specific functions, such as protecting the individual or managing particular emotions. This disorder is especially prevalent in individuals with histories of physical, emotional, or sexual abuse, often beginning before the age of six—a critical period for identity development. Despite its recognition in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5)*, DID remains a highly debated condition within the psychological and medical communities. Critics question the validity of DID as a distinct clinical entity, citing potential iatrogenic factors (symptoms induced by therapy), sociocultural influences, and misdiagnosis as contributing to its diagnosis. The

Relevant conflicts of interest/financial disclosures: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

sociocognitive model argues that DID symptoms may arise from suggestibility during therapy or from exposure to media portrayals of the disorder. On the other hand, proponents of the trauma model emphasize the consistency of DID presentations across different cultures and its association with well-documented traumatic histories.

The prevalence of DID is challenging to determine due to diagnostic complexities and frequent comorbidity with other mental health disorders. It is estimated that DID affects approximately 1-2% of the general population, though higher rates are reported in clinical settings. Common comorbidities include post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, anxiety, substance use disorders, and borderline personality disorder. This overlap often complicates diagnosis, as symptoms such as memory gaps, emotional dysregulation, and identity disturbances may be attributed to other conditions.

Advances in neuroimaging and psychometric research have shed light on the neurobiological underpinnings of DID, offering tangible evidence to support its validity as a disorder. Studies have shown alterations in brain regions such as the hippocampus and amygdala, which are crucial for memory and emotional regulation. Functional MRI (fMRI) studies also reveal distinct neural activity patterns corresponding to different identity states, providing objective data that challenge the notion of DID as a purely sociocultural phenomenon. Treatment of DID focuses on addressing the underlying trauma and fostering integration or cooperation among the identity states. Psychotherapy remains the cornerstone of treatment, with approaches such as trauma-focused therapy, cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), and dialectical behavior therapy (DBT) commonly employed. Pharmacotherapy is typically used to manage comorbid conditions rather than treating DID directly. Emerging adjunctive therapies, including hypnosis and eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (EMDR), show promise but require further research to establish their efficacy. Despite ongoing advancements, DID continues to face significant stigma and public misunderstanding, largely fueled by sensationalized media portrayals. Popular films and books often depict DID in exaggerated or inaccurate ways, reinforcing stereotypes and detracting from the lived experiences of those affected by the disorder. This stigmatization poses barriers to diagnosis and treatment, underscoring the need for greater awareness and education among clinicians, researchers, and the general public. In conclusion, Dissociative Identity Disorder is a multifaceted and often misunderstood condition that demands a nuanced approach to diagnosis, treatment, and societal understanding. As research continues to unravel the complexities of DID, it is crucial to bridge the gaps between clinical practice, scientific investigation, and public perception to improve outcomes for those living with this challenging disorder.

ETIOLOGY:

The etiology of Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID) is complex and multifactorial, involving psychological, biological, and sociocultural components. Understanding the causes of DID requires examining the interplay of these factors, with a particular emphasis on the role of trauma, neurobiological changes, and social influences. Below is a detailed exploration of the primary etiological theories and contributing factors:

1. Trauma Model of DID

The trauma model is the most widely accepted explanation for DID. It posits that the disorder arises as an extreme response to severe and chronic trauma, especially during early childhood, when an individual's sense of self is still developing. Key aspects include:

1.1 Early Life Trauma

- **Childhood Abuse and Neglect:** DID is strongly associated with severe physical, emotional, or sexual abuse, often perpetrated by trusted caregivers. Studies suggest that up to 90% of individuals with DID report significant early-life trauma.
- **Age of Onset:** Traumatic experiences occurring before the age of six are particularly impactful, as this period is critical for the integration of identity and memory systems.
- **Attachment Disruptions:** Children who experience inconsistent or abusive caregiving often develop insecure attachment styles, which exacerbate vulnerability to dissociation.

1.2 Dissociation as a Coping Mechanism

- Dissociation enables a child to mentally "escape" unbearable trauma by compartmentalizing the experience into separate identities or "alters."

- This process prevents the full integration of traumatic memories into conscious awareness, allowing the individual to function in other areas of life.

2. Neurobiological Factors

Emerging research highlights the biological underpinnings of DID, suggesting that neurobiological changes contribute to the development and maintenance of the disorder.

2.1 Brain Structures and Functions

- **Hippocampus and Amygdala:** Reduced volume in these regions has been observed in individuals with DID, reflecting impairments in memory processing and emotional regulation.
- **Prefrontal Cortex:** Dysfunctions in this area may explain difficulties with self-awareness and executive control seen in DID.
- **Corpus Callosum:** Abnormalities in the connections between brain hemispheres could underlie the compartmentalization of identity states.

2.2 Stress Response System

- Chronic trauma is associated with dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, which governs the stress response.
- Altered cortisol levels in individuals with DID suggest a heightened sensitivity to stress, further reinforcing dissociative mechanisms.

2.3 Neuroimaging Evidence

- Functional MRI (fMRI) studies reveal distinct neural activity patterns corresponding to different identity states, providing objective evidence for the existence of dissociative states.

3. Sociocognitive Model

The sociocognitive model offers an alternative perspective, emphasizing the influence of social and cultural factors on the development of DID. While it does not deny the role of trauma, it highlights the following elements:

3.1 Social Influences

- **Cultural Narratives:** Societal beliefs about dissociation and identity may shape the way individuals interpret and express their symptoms.
- **Media Depictions:** Sensationalized portrayals of DID in movies and books may lead some individuals to adopt dissociative behaviors, consciously or unconsciously.

3.2 Therapeutic Suggestion

- Critics of the trauma model argue that DID symptoms can emerge in response to suggestive therapeutic practices, such as hypnosis or guided questioning.
- This view suggests that well-meaning therapists may inadvertently encourage the emergence of alters in highly suggestible individuals.

4. Genetic and Epigenetic Factors

While research into the genetic basis of DID is limited, some evidence suggests a hereditary component to dissociative tendencies.

4.1 Genetic Predisposition

- Twin studies indicate that genetic factors may account for a modest proportion of dissociative symptoms, although environmental influences remain dominant.

4.2 Epigenetic Changes

- Chronic stress and trauma can lead to epigenetic modifications, altering gene expression in ways that increase vulnerability to dissociation and related disorders.

5. Developmental and Personality Factors

Individual differences in temperament and early experiences play a significant role in determining susceptibility to DID.

5.1 Temperament

- Children with a natural predisposition toward high imaginative involvement or emotional sensitivity may be more prone to dissociation.

5.2 Cognitive Development

- Trauma during critical developmental stages may hinder the integration of memories and self-concept, paving the way for identity fragmentation.

6. Interaction of Factors

The etiology of DID cannot be attributed to any single factor but rather involves a dynamic interplay of trauma, biological predispositions, and environmental influences. For instance:

- A child with a sensitive temperament may experience traumatic abuse, leading to both neurobiological changes and dissociative coping strategies.
- Sociocultural influences, such as exposure to DID narratives, may shape how the disorder manifests and is interpreted by the individual.

Clinical Features and Diagnosis:

Clinical Features

1. Presence of Distinct Identity States (Alters)

- **Multiplicity of Identities:** Individuals with DID experience two or more distinct identity states, each with its own unique patterns of thinking, feeling, and behaving.
- **Differentiation Between Alters:** Alters may differ in age, gender, language, abilities, and even physical characteristics like handwriting or voice tone.
- **Role of Alters:** Each alter often serves a specific function, such as protecting the host (primary identity), managing trauma, or handling day-to-day responsibilities.

2. Gaps in Memory (Amnesia)

- **Dissociative Amnesia:** Individuals may experience recurrent gaps in memory, particularly for events that occurred while another identity was dominant.
- **Blackouts:** Periods of time where the individual is unable to recall actions or conversations, often leading to confusion and distress.
- **Childhood Amnesia:** Many individuals with DID report a lack of memories from significant parts of their early lives, often tied to traumatic experiences.

3. Loss of Sense of Self

- **Fragmented Identity:** A lack of a cohesive self-concept, where individuals feel disconnected from their own emotions, behaviors, or thoughts.
- **Switching Between Alters:** Transitions between alters, often triggered by stress, reminders of trauma, or environmental cues. These switches can be sudden and dramatic or subtle and difficult to detect.

4. Emotional Dysregulation

- DID is often accompanied by intense mood swings, impulsivity, and difficulties in managing emotions.
- Individuals may feel overwhelmed by emotions from a specific alter or become numb and detached as a coping mechanism.

5. Comorbid Conditions

- **Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD):** Commonly co-occurs with DID due to shared origins in trauma.
- **Anxiety and Depression:** Persistent feelings of sadness, guilt, or fear are often present.
- **Self-Harm and Suicidal Tendencies:** High rates of self-injurious behaviors and suicide attempts are reported in DID patients.

6. Physical Manifestations

- **Somatic Symptoms:** Individuals may report unexplained pain, headaches, or sensory disturbances, often linked to stress or specific alters.
- **Psychophysiological Differences:** Alters may have distinct physiological markers, such as differences in heart rate, blood pressure, or allergies.

Diagnosis:

Diagnosis of DID

Diagnosing DID is challenging due to its overlapping symptoms with other psychiatric conditions and the stigma surrounding the disorder. Accurate diagnosis requires a comprehensive assessment by trained mental health professionals.

1. Diagnostic Criteria (DSM-5)

The *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5)* provides the following criteria for DID:

- **Presence of Two or More Identity States:** Each identity must have distinct patterns of behavior, perception, and memory.
- **Recurrent Gaps in Memory:** These gaps must be inconsistent with ordinary forgetting and include everyday events, personal history, or traumatic experiences.
- **Significant Distress or Impairment:** Symptoms must cause substantial disruption in personal, occupational, or social functioning.
- **Exclusion of Cultural or Religious Practices:** The symptoms must not be part of accepted cultural or religious practices (e.g., possession states).
- **Exclusion of Substances or Medical Conditions:** Symptoms cannot be better explained by substance use, neurological disorders, or other medical conditions.

2. Structured Diagnostic Tools

- **Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders (SCID-D):** A specialized diagnostic tool designed to assess dissociative disorders, including DID.
- **Dissociative Experiences Scale (DES):** A self-report questionnaire used to screen for dissociative symptoms.

3. Clinical Observation

- **Behavioral Indicators:** Sudden changes in speech, posture, or demeanor that suggest the presence of an alternate identity.
- **Memory Disruptions:** Reports of lost time, unexplained actions, or confusion about personal belongings.
- **Self-Reports of Alters:** Individuals may describe the experience of "hearing voices" internally or feeling controlled by other parts of themselves.

4. Differential Diagnosis

DID shares symptoms with several other conditions, necessitating careful evaluation to rule out:

- **Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD):** Overlapping features such as flashbacks, hypervigilance, and emotional dysregulation.
- **Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD):** Both disorders involve identity disturbances and emotional instability, but DID is marked by distinct identity states.
- **Schizophrenia:** Differentiated by the presence of psychosis in schizophrenia, which is generally absent in DID.
- **Bipolar Disorder:** Mood swings in bipolar disorder are not associated with identity fragmentation.

5. Challenges in Diagnosis

- **Misdiagnosis:** DID is often misdiagnosed as other conditions, such as schizophrenia or BPD, due to overlapping symptoms.
- **Stigma and Skepticism:** The validity of DID as a diagnosis is sometimes questioned, complicating the diagnostic process.
- **Variability in Presentation:** Symptoms can vary widely between individuals, influenced by cultural, social, and therapeutic factors.

Treatment:

1. Psychotherapy

Psychotherapy is the cornerstone of DID treatment. It aims to address dissociation, reduce symptoms, and integrate or harmonize identity states. Common therapeutic approaches include:

1.1 Phase-Oriented Treatment

Phase-oriented therapy is a widely accepted framework for treating DID, consisting of three main stages:

- **Phase 1: Stabilization**
  - Focuses on establishing safety and stability in the individual's life.
  - Therapists work on building trust, improving emotional regulation, and teaching coping skills.
  - Crisis management, including addressing suicidal ideation and self-harm, is prioritized.
- **Phase 2: Trauma Processing**
  - Involves addressing and processing traumatic memories in a controlled and supportive environment.
  - Therapists use techniques like narrative therapy, cognitive restructuring, and exposure therapy to help the individual integrate traumatic experiences.
- **Phase 3: Integration and Rehabilitation**
  - Aims to integrate identity states into a cohesive sense of self.
  - Encourages the individual to focus on reintegration into personal, social, and professional life.

1.2 Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy (CBT)

CBT can help individuals identify and challenge distorted thought patterns that perpetuate dissociation. Techniques focus on managing triggers, improving emotional regulation, and fostering adaptive coping mechanisms.

1.3 Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT)

DBT is particularly effective for managing emotional dysregulation, self-harm, and interpersonal difficulties commonly seen in DID. Core components include mindfulness, distress tolerance, emotion regulation, and interpersonal effectiveness.

1.4 Trauma-Focused Therapy

Trauma-focused therapies aim to process and integrate traumatic memories. These approaches include:

- **Prolonged Exposure Therapy:** Helps individuals confront traumatic memories to reduce avoidance and fear.
- **Cognitive Processing Therapy (CPT):** Focuses on restructuring maladaptive beliefs related to trauma.

1.5 Hypnotherapy

Hypnosis may be used as an adjunct to psychotherapy, helping individuals access dissociated memories and fostering communication between alters. However, it must be applied cautiously to avoid the risk of suggestion or retraumatization.

2. Pharmacotherapy

There is no specific medication for DID itself, but pharmacotherapy is often used to manage comorbid conditions and alleviate specific symptoms.

2.1 Medications for Comorbid Disorders

- **Antidepressants:** Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) or serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) are used to treat depression and anxiety, which frequently accompany DID.
- **Mood Stabilizers:** Used to address mood swings or emotional dysregulation.
- **Antipsychotics:** Prescribed in cases of severe dissociation, paranoia, or intrusive thoughts.
- **Anxiolytics:** May help with acute anxiety but should be used cautiously due to the risk of dependency.

2.2 Limitations

- Medications do not address dissociation directly and are best used as an adjunct to psychotherapy.
- Overprescription can mask symptoms, complicating psychotherapy efforts.

3. Adjunct Therapies

In addition to psychotherapy and medication, several adjunctive approaches can support the treatment process:

3.1 Eye Movement Desensitization and Reprocessing (EMDR)

- EMDR is particularly effective for trauma processing and is used to desensitize individuals to traumatic memories.
- In DID, EMDR must be adapted carefully to prevent triggering overwhelming dissociation.

3.2 Mindfulness and Meditation

- Techniques like mindfulness and grounding exercises help individuals stay present and reduce dissociative episodes.
- They improve emotional regulation and promote a sense of stability.

3.3 Creative Therapies

- Art, music, or drama therapy can facilitate nonverbal expression of trauma and promote communication between alters.

4. Building Cooperation Among Alters

While not all individuals with DID aim for full integration, fostering cooperation and communication between alters is a critical therapeutic goal. Techniques include:

- **Internal Family Systems (IFS):** Helps individuals understand and mediate between their various identity states.
- **Mapping Alters:** Therapists work with the individual to create a "map" of their alters, identifying roles, triggers, and relationships between them.

5. Psychoeducation and Support

Education about DID is essential for both individuals and their families:

- **For Individuals with DID:** Understanding their condition can reduce feelings of confusion and self-stigmatization.
- **For Family and Caregivers:** Learning about DID can foster empathy, reduce misunderstandings, and improve support systems.

6. Challenges in Treatment

- **Complexity of the Disorder:** DID treatment requires long-term commitment and specialized training for therapists.
- **Risk of Retraumatization:** Unmanaged trauma processing can lead to retraumatization or increased dissociation.
- **Stigma and Misdiagnosis:** Social stigma and diagnostic controversies may hinder individuals from seeking appropriate care.

7. Emerging Approaches and Future Directions

- **Virtual Reality Therapy:** Emerging technologies offer immersive tools for managing dissociation and processing trauma.
- **Group Therapy:** Carefully structured groups can provide shared understanding and support, though they must be tailored to avoid triggering dissociation.
- **Integrative Models:** Combining traditional and holistic approaches (e.g., somatic experiencing, neurofeedback) shows promise in enhancing outcomes.

Case study:

A case of a 55-year-old Caucasian female with a history of substance use disorder and a comorbid bipolar disorder, who presented to the local general hospital with a history of the fragmentation of a single personality into different personalities under emotional stress and under the influence of a drug. Multiple aspects of her personalities were reported, including the following: a personality of a seven-year-old child, a personality that would behave as a teenager, and another that acted like a male person in

addition to her normal 55-year-old personality. She reported that she had been constantly dominated by her alternate personalities and became aware of their existence when people around her informed her, usually after a situation ended. She reported that stressful situations and substance abuse could aggravate the fragmentation of her personality. This was found to be mostly an involuntary phenomenon with seldom memory of the event.

While transitioning between these personalities, she was found to be violent even to people who were close to her. This could range from being suicidal to homicidal for which she was arrested twice in the past. She had to be isolated and restrained by being locked in a room and calling the police. As a result, she was hospitalized in a mental institution for a significant period at least two to three times in the past. Under the influence of stress or substances like marijuana or cocaine, her personality would split into various personalities. These states were very different from one another in terms of age or gender.

One of her alternate personalities behaved as a seven-year-old child and would show the same interests and choices that included becoming moody or a self-arrogant personality. While in these states, she could hurt herself or had weeping spells if her wants were not met.

Another personality acted as a teenager with some sharp choices and dressing. Increase in substance abuse, alcohol use, and smoking would lead to multiple cases of fights or homicidal attacks, with some incidents of self-harming events. Multiple scars were found on the dorsal side of her right hand. Her speech was found to be pressured and she would repeat the same words/ conversations.

The next personality was diagnosed to be a temporary transition to the opposite gender (a male). There was a change in voice and behavior. This included male dressing, language, a perception of male body parts, choices of friends, and attraction towards females, including sexual behavior.

The normal state of a 55-year aged female was the default personality that made her feel most comfortable. She reported that she had anxiety during a personality state transition, as it could occur at any time, and involuntarily, but mostly in stressful situations and during substance abuse. More violent and harmful events were reported when someone tried to meet the patient alone rather than in a group.

The treatment included psychotherapy with cognitive behavioral therapy addressing stress and substance use disorder. The psychotherapeutic treatment lasted for at least six months. The dual treatment of drug therapy was also involved to calm her down. The patient was prescribed escitalopram to reduce her anxiety symptoms. She believed that the anxiety pills were really helpful. After six months, the patient's condition was not drastically different. However, she believed her stress was getting better. The patient was further followed up for the next one year and the treatment continues to date.

Controversies and Criticisms:

1. Validity of DID as a Diagnosis

1.1 Skepticism Among Mental Health Professionals

- **Non-Universality of Symptoms:** Critics argue that DID symptoms are inconsistent across patients, making it difficult to establish a clear diagnostic framework.
- **Overlap with Other Disorders:** Symptoms of DID, such as memory loss and identity confusion, overlap with other conditions like borderline personality disorder (BPD), post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), and schizophrenia, leading to doubts about its distinctiveness.
- **Cultural and Regional Variations:** The diagnosis of DID is far more common in certain regions, particularly North America, leading some to question whether it is culturally constructed rather than a universal phenomenon.

1.2 Sociocognitive Model of DID

The sociocognitive model suggests that DID is not a naturally occurring disorder but a condition shaped by sociocultural influences and therapeutic suggestion.

- **Role of Media:** Popular culture's portrayal of DID, often sensationalized, is believed to influence how individuals and therapists interpret dissociative symptoms.
- **Therapist Influence:** Critics argue that some therapists may unintentionally encourage the development of alters through suggestive questioning or techniques like hypnosis.

2. Controversies in Etiology

2.1 Trauma Model vs. Sociocognitive Model

The trauma model and sociocognitive model offer competing explanations for DID's origins:

- **Trauma Model:** This widely accepted theory posits that DID arises as a defense mechanism

against severe, chronic trauma, especially during early childhood.

- **Sociocognitive Model:** Proponents of this model argue that DID symptoms are shaped by cultural narratives and therapeutic practices rather than rooted in trauma.

2.2 Lack of Objective Evidence for Trauma

- While many individuals with DID report histories of severe trauma, critics argue that retrospective reports can be unreliable.
- Some suggest that trauma memories may be reconstructed or implanted through therapy rather than accurately recalled.

2.3 False Memory Syndrome

- Therapies involving techniques like hypnosis and guided imagery have been criticized for creating false memories of trauma.
- In some high-profile legal cases, accusations of abuse based on "recovered memories" have been discredited, fueling skepticism about the link between trauma and DID.

3. Diagnostic Challenges

3.1 Overdiagnosis vs. Underdiagnosis

- **Overdiagnosis:** Some argue that the increased awareness and media representation of DID have led to overdiagnosis, with therapists misinterpreting dissociative symptoms as DID.
- **Underdiagnosis:** Conversely, proponents of the trauma model assert that DID is underdiagnosed due to stigma, lack of training, and misattribution of symptoms to other disorders.

3.2 Reliability of Diagnostic Tools

- Structured interviews, such as the SCID-D, are helpful but not foolproof. Critics question whether these tools capture the full complexity of DID or risk over pathologizing normal dissociative experiences.

4. Prevalence Controversies

4.1 Varying Prevalence Rates

- Reported prevalence rates for DID vary widely, from less than 1% in the general population to up to 10% in psychiatric settings.
- The significant variation raises questions about whether DID is truly as common as some studies suggest or whether diagnostic criteria are inconsistently applied.

4.2 Cultural Influence

- DID is disproportionately diagnosed in Western countries, particularly in North America, leading some to suggest it is a culturally specific phenomenon.
- In non-Western cultures, dissociation may manifest differently, often as possession states, which are interpreted through cultural or religious frameworks rather than as a psychiatric condition.

5. Media and Public Misrepresentation

5.1 Sensationalized Portrayals

- Popular media often exaggerates or distorts DID, depicting individuals with dramatic and violent switches between alters.
- Films like *Split* and *Sybil* have contributed to stereotypes that people with DID are dangerous or unpredictable, perpetuating stigma.

5.2 Impact on Public Perception

- The portrayal of DID in media creates a distorted understanding of the disorder, often overshadowing the lived experiences of those affected.
- It also influences legal cases, where DID is sometimes used controversially as a defense for criminal behavior.

6. Treatment-Related Controversies

6.1 Risk of Iatrogenesis

- Critics argue that certain therapeutic practices, particularly hypnosis and memory recovery techniques, may induce or exacerbate DID symptoms rather than alleviate them.
- This phenomenon, known as iatrogenesis, raises ethical concerns about the role of therapists in "creating" DID in suggestible patients.

6.2 Lack of Standardized Treatment Protocols

- Treatment for DID is highly individualized, with no universally accepted guidelines.
- The effectiveness of commonly used approaches, such as trauma-focused therapy, is supported primarily by case studies rather than large-scale clinical trials.

6.3 Prolonged and Costly Therapy

- DID treatment often require years of intensive psychotherapy, posing financial and emotional burdens on patients.

- Critics question whether the time and resources required for treatment are justified, especially when symptom management rather than full integration is the goal.

7. Legal and Ethical Issues

7.1 DID in Legal Contexts

- DID has been used as a defense in criminal cases, with defendants claiming they were not in control of their actions due to an alternate identity.
- These cases often face skepticism from courts, and the use of DID as a legal defense remains controversial.

7.2 Ethical Concerns in Therapy

- Therapists must navigate ethical dilemmas, such as balancing the need for trauma exploration with the risk of retraumatization or false memory implantation.
- The risk of exploiting vulnerable patients in prolonged therapy also raises ethical questions.

8. Efforts to Address Controversies

8.1 Research Advancements

- Advances in neuroimaging have provided objective evidence of distinct brain activity patterns corresponding to different alters, supporting the validity of DID as a neurological phenomenon.
- Continued research into the neurobiology of dissociation may help bridge the gap between proponents and skeptics.

8.2 Education and Awareness

- Increased training for mental health professionals can reduce diagnostic errors and improve understanding of DID.
- Public awareness campaigns can help combat stigma and address misconceptions perpetuated by the media.

8.3 Development of Standardized Guidelines

- Efforts are underway to create evidence-based treatment protocols for DID, ensuring more consistent and ethical therapeutic practices.

CONCLUSION

Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID) remains a highly debated and complex psychiatric condition, with its origins, diagnosis, and treatment frequently scrutinized. While the trauma model provides a compelling explanation linking DID to early-life adversity, alternative views, such as the sociocognitive model, challenge its validity, citing cultural, therapeutic, and media influences. The lack of universally accepted diagnostic criteria and standardized treatment protocols further complicates the disorder's clinical landscape.

Despite controversies, significant progress has been made in understanding the neurobiological and psychological underpinnings of DID, validating it as a legitimate mental health condition for many. However, sensationalized media portrayals and therapeutic missteps continue to perpetuate stigma and skepticism. Bridging these divides requires a balanced approach, integrating rigorous research, ethical therapeutic practices, and increased education for both clinicians and the public. By fostering a more evidence-based and empathetic perspective, the mental health community can better support individuals living with DID, ensuring their unique needs are met with compassion and care.

REFERENCE

1. American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.
2. Brand, B. L., Loewenstein, R. J., & Spiegel, D. (2014). Dispelling myths about dissociative identity disorder treatment: An empirically based approach. Psychiatry, 77(2), 169–189.
3. Paris, J. (2012). The rise and fall of dissociative identity disorder. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 200(12), 1076–1079.
4. Sar, V., & Dorahy, M. J. (2017). Trauma and dissociation: Neurobiological, cognitive, and clinical perspectives. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 26(2), 153–158.
5. Spiegel, D., & Vermetten, E. (2019). Dissociation and the brain: Evidence from trauma research. Journal of Trauma & Dissociation, 20(3), 261–273.
6. Gleaves, D. H. (1996). The sociocognitive model of dissociative identity disorder: A reexamination of the evidence. Psychological Bulletin, 120(1), 42–59.
7. Dorahy, M. J., & van der Hart, O. (2007). Trauma-induced dissociation and dissociative disorders: An overview of etiological models and clinical research. Journal of Trauma & Dissociation, 8(4), 1–24.

8. International Society for the Study of Trauma and Dissociation. (2011). Guidelines for treating dissociative identity disorder in adults, third revision. Journal of Trauma & Dissociation, 12(2), 115–187.
9. McNally, R. J. (2003). Remembering trauma. Harvard University Press.
10. Ross, C. A. (2011). Critique of the sociocognitive model of dissociative identity disorder. Journal of Trauma & Dissociation, 12(4), 396–407.

HOW TO CITE: Sudarshan Kalagate, Madhura Jadhav, Jitesh Batra, Multiple Personality Disorder (Dissociative Identity Disorder), Int. J. Sci. R. Tech., 2024, 1 (11), 230-239. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14235007